arXiv:1703.09411v1 [math.AP] 28 Mar 2017

Solvability of Nonlinear Elliptic Type Equation With Two Unrelated Non standard Growths

Uğur Sert and Kamal Soltanov

Abstract. In this paper, we study the solvability of the nonlinear Dirichlet problem with sum of the operators of independent non standard growths

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|u|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u + |D_i u|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i u\right) + c(x,u) = h(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We obtain sufficient conditions and show the existence of weak solutions of the considered problem by using monotonicity and compactness methods together.

Keywords: Elliptic PDEs, non standard nonlinearity, variable exponent, solvability theorem, embedding theorems.

AMS Subject Classification: 35J60, 35J66.

1 Introduction

In this work, we investigate the Dirichlet problem for the nonlinear elliptic equation with variable nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} -\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|u|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u + |D_i u|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i u\right) + c(x,u) = h(x) \\ u\,|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n\,(n \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain which has sufficiently smooth boundary (at least Lipschitz boundary), p_0, p_1 are nonnegative measurable functions defined on Ω, h is a generalized function and $c : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $c(x,\tau)$ is a function with variable nonlinearity in τ (for example, $c(x,u) = c_0(x)\,|u|^{\alpha(x)-2}\,u + c_1(x)$, see Section 2).

We denote the operators A and B with

$$A(u) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|D_i u|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i u\right), B(u) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|u|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u\right) + c(x,u).$$

There has been recently a considerable interest in the study of equations and variational problems with variable exponents of nonlinearities due to their applications. Nonlinear equations including the operator $A(u)$ is a rather common nonlinear problem with variable exponent which could be viewed as $p(.)$-Laplacian type equation. This kind of problems have been studied in various

U. Sert(✉): Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University, 06800, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey. e-mail: usert@hacettepe.edu.tr

K. Soltanov: Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University, 06800, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey. e-mail: soltanov@hacettepe.edu.tr

contexts by many authors [1, 3, 4, 6, 27] and have wide range of application areas in the mathematical modeling of non-Newtonian fluids [7, 18, 19], theory of elasticity and hydrodynamics [28], thermistor problem [26] and in image restoration [9] etc. (Indeed, all application areas mentioned above are also valid for problem (1.1)). On the other hand, the equations of the type $B(u) = h$ are rarely investigated. For instance in [5], a similar type of problem for $B(u) = h$ was studied by Antontsev and Shmarev who investigated the regularized problem to show the existence of weak solution. Such equations may appear in the mathematical modeling of the process of nonstable filtration of an ideal barotropic gas in a nonhomogeneous porous medium (for sample see [3]). We also refer [14, 17, 19] for the several of the most important applications of nonlinear partial differential equations with variable exponent arise from mathematical modeling of suitable processes in mechanics, mathematical physics, image processings etc.

To the best of our knowledge, by now there has not been any studies on the existence of solutions for the elliptic equations of the type (1.1) with variable exponents of nonlinearity. However, we note that a similar type of problem to (1.1) with constant exponents was investigated in [24] and solvability of the considered problem was proved. Besides, we stress that (1.1) is a model problem which can be considered in a more general case. The our goal of studying the model problem is to provide a more understandable and explicit way for the established results in the present article.

The main feature of the equation $A(u) + B(u) = h$ is that, the exponents $p_0(x)$ and $p_1(x)$ are independent of each other. Thus, neither A nor B is the main part of this equation. It is need to note that if A is the main part of the equation, i.e the exponents are dependent each other, the results for the theory of pseudomonotone operators can be used to investigate the problem. However, in the case that we consider, any methods which is merely related to monotonicity can not be used.

We use the basic general solvability theorem [24], (Theorem 2.5) to prove the existence of weak solution of the problem (1.1). In order to apply this theorem to existence theorem (Theorem 2.4) for problem (1.1), we obtain sufficient conditions and prove the monotonicity of the operator A and weak compactness of B on proper spaces under these conditions, and then we get the solvability of posed problem by simultaneously using monotonicity and compactness.

This paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we present the assumptions, definition of the weak solution and description of the main result. For this purpose, we also define some function classes which are required to study the posed problem. In Section 3, firstly, we establish some integral inequalities to investigate the function classes (pseudo-norm spaces) defined in previous section and afterwards verify some necessary lemmas and theorems which indicate the relation of these spaces with the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent and the continuous and compact embeddings of these function spaces etc. In Section 4, we give the proof of the main theorem (Theorem 2.4) of this paper by the help of the embedding results obtained in Section 3.

2 Statement of The Problem and The Main Result

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n\,(n \geq 3)$ be a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We study the problem (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} -\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|u|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u + |D_i u|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i u\right) + c\,(x,u) = h\,(x)\,, \quad x \in \Omega \\ u\,|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

under the following conditions:

(A1) $2 \leq p_0^- \leq p_0\,(x) \leq p_0^+ < \infty$, $2 \leq p_1^- \leq p_1\,(x) \leq p_1^+ < \infty$ *and* $p_0 \in C^1\left(\bar{\Omega}\right)$, $p_1 \in C^0\left(\bar{\Omega}\right)$.

(A2) *There exists a measurable function* $\alpha : \Omega \longrightarrow [1,\infty)\,,\ 1 \leq \alpha^- \leq \alpha\,(x) \leq \alpha^+ < \infty$ *such that the following inequalities hold*

$$|c\,(x,\tau)| \leq c_0\,(x)\,|\tau|^{\alpha(x)-1} + c_1\,(x)$$

and

$$c\,(x,\tau)\,\tau \geq c_2\,(x)\,|\tau|^{\alpha(x)}\,,$$

a.e. $(x,\tau) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Here $c\,(x,\tau)$ *is a Caratheodory function and* c_i, $i = 0,1,2$ *are nonnegative measurable functions defined on* Ω, *besides for* $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha\,(x) \geq p_0\,(x) + \varepsilon$ *and* $c_2\,(x) \geq \tilde{C} > 0$, $x \in \Omega$ *is satisfied.*

In order to give the definition of weak solution of the problem (1.1), we introduce the required spaces. For this, first we remind some basic facts about generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces [2, 8, 10, 12, 15].

Let Ω be a Lebesgue measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\Omega| > 0$ (Throughout the paper, we denote by $|\Omega|$ the Lebesgue measure of Ω). By $P\,(\Omega)$ denote the family of all measurable functions $p : \Omega \longrightarrow [1,\infty]$ and by $P_0\,(\Omega)$,

$$P_0\,(\Omega) := \left\{p \in P\,(\Omega) :\ 1 \leq p^- \leq p\,(x) \leq p^+ < \infty,\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega\right\}.$$

where $p^- := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{\Omega} |p\,(x)|\,,\ p^+ := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} |p\,(x)|$.

For $p \in P\,(\Omega)\,,\ \Omega_\infty^p \equiv \Omega_\infty \equiv \{x \in \Omega|\ p\,(x) = \infty\}$ then on the set of all functions on Ω define the functional σ_p and $\|.\|_p$ by

$$\sigma_p\,(u) \equiv \int\limits_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\infty} |u|^{p(x)}\,dx + \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega_\infty} |u\,(x)|$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \equiv \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 :\ \sigma_p\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1\right\}.$$

Clearly if $p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ then $p \in P_0(\Omega)$, $\sigma_p(u) \equiv \int\limits_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)}\, dx$ and the generalized Lebesgue space is defined as follows:

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) := \{u : u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function such that } \sigma_p(u) < \infty\}.$$

If $p^- > 1$, then the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ becomes a reflexive and separable Banach space under the norm $\|.\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}$which is so-called Luxemburg norm.

If $0 < |\Omega| < \infty$, and $p_1,\ p_2 \in P(\Omega)$ then the continuous embedding $L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega) \subset L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$ exists $\Longleftrightarrow\ p_2(x) \leq p_1(x)$ for a.e $x \in \Omega$.

For $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ where $p,\ q \in P_0(\Omega)$ and $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1$ the following inequalities holds

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} |uv|\, dx \leq 2\, \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}\, \|v\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega),} \text{ (generalized Hölder inequality)} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\min\{\|u\|^{p^-}_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}, \|u\|^{p^+}_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}\} \leq \sigma_p(u) \leq \max\{\|u\|^{p^-}_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}, \|u\|^{p^+}_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}\} \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain and $p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ then generalized Sobolev space is defined as follows:

$$W^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega) := \left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) :\ \ |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}$$

and this space is a separable Banach space under the norm:

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega)} \equiv \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}$$

$W_0^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega)$ defines as the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega)$. If $p^- > 1$ then $W^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are reflexive and separable Banach spaces. If $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz boundary and $p \in C^0\left(\bar{\Omega}\right)$, then equivalent norm in $W_0^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is given by;

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega)} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|D_i u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}\ .$$

Let $p,\ q \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}\right) \cap P_0(\Omega)$ and $p(x) < n$, $q(x) < \frac{np(x)}{n-p(x)} \equiv p^*(x)$ is hold for all $x \in \Omega$, then there is a continuous and compact embedding $W^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

A function $p \in P_0(\Omega)$ is called log-Hölder continuous if there is a constant L such that the inequality

$$-|p(x) - p(y)| \log|x - y| \leq L, \qquad \forall x,\ y \in \Omega$$

holds. If p is log-Hölder continuous and $q \in P_0(\Omega)$ then we have the continuous embedding $W^{1,\,p(x)}(\Omega) \subset L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ for all $q \leq p^*$.
For more details and embedding results for these spaces see [2, 8, 10-12, 15].

We now define some function classes which are required to study the problem (1.1). These classes are nonlinear spaces which are the generalization of the nonlinear spaces with constant exponent studied in [21-24](see also references of them). We also note that the necessary properties of these spaces are presented in Section 3.

Definition 2.1 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ be a bounded domain which has sufficiently smooth boundary, and γ, $\beta \in P_0(\Omega)$. We introduce $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x)}(\Omega)$, the class of functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and the functional $[.]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} : S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x)}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as follows:*

$$S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x)}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^1(\Omega) : \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma(x)} |D_i u|^{\beta(x)} dx < \infty \right\},$$

$$[u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_\Omega \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_\Omega \left| \frac{|u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} D_i u}{\lambda^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}+1}} \right|^{\beta(x)} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

$[.]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}}$ defines a pseudo-norm on $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x)}(\Omega)$, actually it can easily be seen that $[.]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}}$ fulfills all conditions of pseudo-norm (pn) see [21] i.e. $[u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} \geq 0$, $u = 0 \Rightarrow [u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} = 0$, $[u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} \neq [v]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} \Rightarrow u \neq v$ and $[u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$.

Let $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x)}(\Omega)$ be the space given in the Definition 2.1 and $\theta(x) \in P_0(\Omega)$, we denote $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$, the class of functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by the following intersection

$$S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega) := S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\theta(x)}(\Omega), \tag{2.3}$$

with the pseudo-norm

$$[u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta,\theta}} := [u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta}} + \|u\|_{L^{\theta(x)}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega).$$

We now state a proposition which can be easily proved by the embedding results for the Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent.

Proposition 2.2 *If γ, β, $\theta \in P_0(\Omega)$ and $\theta(x) \geq \gamma(x) + \beta(x) + \varepsilon_0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, then we have the following equivalence;*

$$S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega) \equiv \left\{ u \in L^1(\Omega) : \Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) := \int_\Omega |u|^{\theta(x)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma(x)} |D_i u|^{\beta(x)} dx < \infty \right\},$$

with the pseudo-norm

$$[u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta,\theta}} \equiv \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_\Omega \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{\theta(x)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_\Omega \left| \frac{|u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} D_i u}{\lambda^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}+1}} \right|^{\beta(x)} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Also denote the dual spaces, $W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega) := \left(W_0^{1,\,p_0(x)}(\Omega)\right)^*$, $W^{-1,\,q_1(x)}(\Omega) := \left(W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega)\right)^*$ and $L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega) := \left(L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)\right)^*$, here $q_0(x) := \frac{p_0(x)}{p_0(x)-1}$, $q_1(x) := \frac{p_1(x)}{p_1(x)-1}$ and $\alpha'(x) := \frac{\alpha(x)}{\alpha(x)-1}$.

We investigate the problem (1.1) for functions $h \in W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega)+L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)+W^{-1,\,q_1(x)}(\Omega)$. Let us denote $Q(\Omega)$ by

$$Q(\Omega) := \mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega)$$

where $\mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega) := \left\{u \in S_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega) : u\mid_{\partial\Omega}= 0\right\}$. Noticed that the condition on $\alpha(x)$ in *(A2)* indicates that that Proposition 2.2 is valid for $\mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$.

We are ready to give the definition of the weak solution of problem (1.1).

Definition 2.3 *If the function $u \in Q(\Omega)$ satisfies the following equality*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{p_0(x)-2}D_iu+|D_iu|^{p_1(x)-2}D_iu\right)D_ivdx+\int_{\Omega}c(x,u)vdx=\int_{\Omega}hvdx \tag{2.4}$$

for every $v \in W_0^{1,\,p_0(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, then the function u is called the weak solution of the problem (1.1).

Note that it is clear under the conditions *(A1)* and *(A2)*, all the integrals in (2.4) make sense.
Now we state the main theorem of this article that is the solvability theorem for problem (1.1):

Theorem 2.4 ***(Existence Theorem)*** *Let the conditions* (A1)-(A2) *fulfill and $c_0, c_2 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $c_1 \in L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)$. Then for every $h \in W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega)+L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)+W^{-1,\,q_1(x)}(\Omega)$, problem (1.1) has a weak solution in the space $Q(\Omega)$.*

We will use the following solvability theorem [24] to prove the Theorem 2.4. Let X and Y_0 be reflexive Banach spaces, Y is an arbitrary Banach space and S_{gY_0} is pn-space(pseudo-norm space) [21]. Let $A : X \longrightarrow X^*$ and $B : S_{gY_0} \longrightarrow Y$ be nonlinear operators.

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

1) $A : X \longrightarrow X^*$ is a pseudo-monotone operator, i.e.,

   (i) A is bounded operator and

   (ii) the conditions $u_m \overset{X}{\rightarrow} u_0$ and $\limsup\langle A(u_m),\ u_m-u\rangle \leq 0$ imply

$$\liminf\langle A(u_m),\ u_m-v\rangle \geq \langle A(u),\ u-v\rangle,\quad \forall v \in X.$$

2) $B : S_{gY_0} \longrightarrow Y$ is weakly compact. Furthermore, there exists a mapping $B_0 : X_0 \cap S_{gY_0} \longrightarrow Y_2 \subseteq Y$ such that B_0 is weakly compact from $X_0 \cap S_{gY_0}$ to Y_2 where X_0 is a separable topological vector space which is dense in X, Y^* and S_{gY_0} and there exists a continuous nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^1_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1_+$, such that $\varphi \in C^0$ and

$$\langle B(u),\ u\rangle = \varphi\left(\|B_0(u)\|_{Y_2}\right),\quad \forall u \in S_{gY_0}.$$

3) The operator $T := A + B$ is coercive in the generalized sense on X_0, i.e. for each $u \in X_0$ with $\|u\|_X$, $[u]_{S_{gY_0}} \geq M$ we have

$$\langle T(u),\ u\rangle = \langle A(u) + B(u),\ u\rangle \geq \lambda_0\left(\|u\|_X\right)\|u\|_X + \lambda_1\left([u]_{S_{gY_0}}\right)[u]_{S_{gY_0}}$$

where λ_0, $\lambda_1 \in C^0$ such that as $\tau \nearrow \infty$, $\lambda_0(\tau)$, $\lambda_1(\tau) \nearrow \infty$ and $M > 0$ is some number.

Theorem 2.5 [24] *Let conditions 1)-3) be satisfied. Then the equation*

$$T(u) = A(u) + B(u) = y,\quad y \in X^* + Y,$$

is solvable in $X \cap S_{gY_0}$ for any $y \in X^* + Y$ satisfying

$$\sup\left\{\frac{\langle y,\ u\rangle}{\|u\|_X + [u]_{S_{gY_0}}} :\ u \in X_0\right\} < \infty.$$

3 Preliminary Results

In this section, we investigate the function classes which are defined in Section 2. This investigation is required to study the posed problem (1.1). First, we establish some integral inequalities to realize the structure of these spaces. Afterwards, we show that these spaces are complete metric spaces. Moreover, we prove some lemmas and theorems on continuous and compact embedding etc. for these spaces and also indicate their relation with the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent.

3.1 Some Integral Inequalities

In this subsection, we derive some inequalities which are given as lemmas. As the proofs of these lemmas can be obtained easily by using Young's, Hölder inequalities and by calculations (and also see [20, Lemma 4.1]) hence, we skip the proofs for the sake of brevity. Throughout this section we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary.

Lemma 3.1 *Let ζ, $\xi \in P_0(\Omega)$ and $\zeta(x) \geq \xi(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then the inequality*

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{\xi(x)}\,dx \leq \int_\Omega |u|^{\zeta(x)}\,dx + |\Omega|,\quad \forall u \in L^{\zeta(x)}(\Omega) \tag{3.1}$$

holds.

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that $\zeta \in P_0(\Omega)$ and the numbers η and ϵ satisfy $\eta \geq 1$, $\epsilon > 0$. Then for every $u \in L^{\zeta(x)+\epsilon}(\Omega)$*

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{\zeta(x)} |\ln |u||^\eta \, dx \leq M_1 \int_\Omega |u|^{\zeta(x)+\epsilon} \, dx + M_2 \tag{3.2}$$

is satisfied. Here $M_1 \equiv M_1(\epsilon, \eta) > 0$ and $M_2 \equiv M_2(\epsilon, \eta, |\Omega|) > 0$ are constants.

Corollary 3.3 *Let ζ, $\eta \in P_0(\Omega)$. Then for $\epsilon > 0$, the inequality*

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{\zeta(x)} |\ln |u||^{\eta(x)} \, dx \leq C_1 \int_\Omega |u|^{\zeta(x)+\epsilon} \, dx + C_2, \quad \forall u \in L^{\zeta(x)+\epsilon}(\Omega) \tag{3.3}$$

holds. Here $C_1 \equiv C_1(\epsilon,\ \eta^+) > 0$ and $C_2 \equiv C_2(\epsilon, \eta^+, |\Omega|) > 0$ are constants.

3.2 Generalized Nonlinear Spaces and Embedding Theorems

In this section, we examine the properties of the spaces $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ and their connection with the known spaces. Investigating most of boundary value problems on its own space leads to obtain better results. Henceforth considered problem (1.1) is investigated on its own space (i.e. $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$). Unlike linear boundary value problems, the sets generated by nonlinear problems are subsets of linear spaces, but not possessing the linear structure [21-24].
Note that, from now on unless additional conditions are imposed, all the functions γ, β and θ will satisfy the conditions given in Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $u \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_u := [u]_{S_{\gamma,\beta,\theta}}$ then the following inequality*

$$\max\{\lambda_u^{\gamma^- + \beta^-}, \lambda_u^{\theta^+}\} \geq \Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) \geq \min\{\lambda_u^{\gamma^- + \beta^-}, \lambda_u^{\theta^+}\}$$

holds.

Proof. For $u \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) = \int_\Omega |u|^{\theta(x)} \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma(x)} |D_i u|^{\beta(x)} \, dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega \lambda_u^{\theta(x)} \left| \frac{u}{\lambda_u} \right|^{\theta(x)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega \lambda_u^{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)} \left| \frac{|u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} D_i u}{\lambda_u^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}+1}} \right|^{\beta(x)} dx,$$

if $\lambda_u \geq 1$, we have

$$\geq \lambda_u^{\theta^-} \int_\Omega \left| \frac{u}{\lambda_u} \right|^{\theta(x)} dx + \lambda_u^{\gamma^- + \beta^-} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega \left| \frac{|u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} D_i u}{\lambda_u^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}+1}} \right|^{\beta(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \lambda_u^{\gamma^- + \beta^-} \left(\int_\Omega \left| \frac{u}{\lambda_u} \right|^{\theta(x)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega \left| \frac{|u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} D_i u}{\lambda_u^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}+1}} \right|^{\beta(x)} dx \right),$$

from the definition of $[.]_{S_{\gamma,\beta,\theta}}$ and last inequality, we obtain

$$\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) \geq \lambda_u^{\gamma^- + \beta^-}.$$

Obviously, if $0 < \lambda_u < 1$ then $\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) \geq \lambda_u^{\theta^+}$. Similarly, we can show the other side of the inequality thus, the proof is complete. ■

Theorem 3.5 *Assume that $p \in P_0(\Omega)$ and $p(x) \geq \theta(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then, we have the embedding*

$$W^{1,\, p(x)}(\Omega) \subset S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Let $u \in W^{1,\, p(x)}(\Omega)$, as a consequence of Lemma 3.4 to obtain the embedding (3.4) it is sufficient to show that $\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u)$ is finite (i.e. $\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) < \infty$)

$$\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) = \int_\Omega |u|^{\theta(x)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma(x)} |D_i u|^{\beta(x)} dx,$$

by Lemma 3.1 and using Young's inequality we get

$$\leq \int_\Omega |u|^{p(x)} dx + |\Omega| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega |D_i u|^{p(x)} dx + n \int_\Omega |u|^{\frac{p(x)\gamma(x)}{p(x)-\beta(x)}} dx,$$

estimating the third integral on the right side of the last inequality by using Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) \leq (n+1)(\sigma_p(u) + |\Omega|) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_p(D_i u),$$

thus, we get the desired result from the last inequality and (2.2).

If $p(x) = \theta(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$, by using the same operations as done above we can obtain (3.4). ■

We omit the proof of the following lemma as it is straightforward.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $\gamma,\ \beta : \Omega \longrightarrow [1,\ \infty)$ be functions satisfying $1 \leq \gamma^- \leq \gamma(x) \leq \gamma^+ < \infty$, $1 \leq \beta^- \leq \beta(x) \leq \beta^+ < \infty$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $\gamma,\ \beta \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Then the function $\varphi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi(x,t) := |t|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} t$ satisfies the following:*

- *(i) For every fixed $x_0 \in \Omega$, $\varphi(x_0,.) : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable; has an inverse and inverse function is also continuously differentiable.*
- *(ii) For every fixed $t_0 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, φ and φ^{-1} is continuous on Ω, and for $\forall i = \overline{1,n}$, partial derivatives $\varphi_{x_i}(x,t_0)$, $\varphi_{x_i}^{-1}(x,t_0)$ exist and are continuous.*

Definition 3.7 *Let $\eta \in P_0(\Omega)$, we introduce $L^{1,\,\eta(x)}(\Omega)$, the the class of functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$*

$$L^{1,\,\eta(x)}(\Omega) \equiv \left\{ u \in L^1(\Omega) \mid D_i u \in L^{\eta(x)}(\Omega),\ i = \overline{1,n} \right\}.^*$$

Theorem 3.8 *Let the functions γ, β and φ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.6 and $L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)$ be the space given in Definition 3.7. Then, φ is a bijective mapping between $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$ where $\psi(x) := \frac{\theta(x)\beta(x)}{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)}$.*

Proof. First let us verify that for every $u \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$v := |u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} u = \varphi(u) \in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$$

to show this, from the definition of the spaces $L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$, it is sufficient to prove that $\forall i = \overline{1,n}$, $\sigma_\beta(D_i v)$ and $\sigma_\psi(v)$ is finite. As

$$\sigma_\psi(v) = \int_\Omega |v|^{\psi(x)}\,dx = \int_\Omega |u|^{\frac{\psi(x)(\gamma(x)+\beta(x))}{\beta(x)}}\,dx = \int_\Omega |u|^{\theta(x)}\,dx,$$

the above equation ensures that $\sigma_\psi(v)$ is finite.
Now for $\forall i = \overline{1,n}$, let us show that $\sigma_\beta(D_i v)$ is finite,

$$\sigma_\beta(D_i v) = \int_\Omega |D_i v|^{\beta(x)}\,dx = \int_\Omega \left| D_i\left(|u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} u \right) \right|^{\beta(x)} dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega \left| \left(\tfrac{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)}{\beta(x)} \right) |u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} D_i u + D_i\left(\tfrac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)} \right) |u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} u \ln|u| \right|^{\beta(x)} dx \leq$$

estimating the corresponding coefficients and right hand side of above equation by using Corollary 3.3, we obtain

$$\leq C_1 \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma(x)} |D_i u|^{\beta(x)}\,dx + C_2 \int_\Omega |u|^{\theta(x)}\,dx + C_3,$$

here $C_1 = C_1\left(\beta^\pm, \gamma^+\right)$, $C_2 = C_2\left(\beta^\pm, \gamma^+,\ \|\gamma\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})}, \|\beta\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})}, \varepsilon_0\right)$ and $C_3 = C_3\left(\beta^+, |\Omega|, \varepsilon_0\right) > 0$ are constants. ($\varepsilon_0 > 0$, comes from the Proposition 2.2 which satisfy $\theta(x) \geq \gamma(x) + \beta(x) + \varepsilon_0$).

For $C_4 := \max\{C_1, C_2\}$, we have

$$\sigma_\beta(D_i v) \leq C_4 \left(\int_\Omega |u|^{\theta(x)}\,dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma(x)} |D_i u|^{\beta(x)}\,dx \right) + C_3$$

*Note that this space is not Banach differently from the space $W^{1,\,\eta(x)}(\Omega)$ [8].

$$= C_4 \Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(u) + C_3 \tag{3.5}$$

since $u \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$, by (3.5), we obtain $\forall i = \overline{1,n}$, $\sigma_\beta(D_i v)$ is finite. Now, conversely we need to show that for $\forall v \in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$

$$w := |v|^{-\frac{\gamma(x)}{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)}} v = \varphi^{-1}(v) \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega),$$

to verify this, from the definition of the space $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$, it is sufficient to prove $\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(w)$ is finite. By using similar process and results as mentioned above, we obtain

$$\Re^{\gamma,\beta,\theta}(w) = \int_\Omega |w|^{\theta(x)}\,dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega |w|^{\gamma(x)} |D_i w|^{\beta(x)}\,dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega |v|^{\frac{\beta(x)\theta(x)}{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)}}\,dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega |v|^{\frac{\gamma(x)\beta(x)}{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)}} \left| D_i\left(|v|^{-\frac{\gamma(x)}{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)}} v\right)\right|^{\beta(x)} dx$$

$$\leq C_5 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_\Omega |D_i v|^{\beta(x)}\,dx + C_6 \int_\Omega |v|^{\psi(x)}\,dx + C_7 \tag{3.6}$$

here $C_5 = C_5\left(\beta^+\right) > 0$, $C_6 = C_6\left(\beta^+, \varepsilon_1, \|\gamma\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})}, \|\beta\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})}\right) > 0$ and $C_7 = C_7\left(\beta^+, \varepsilon_1, |\Omega|\right) > 0$ are constants.
Since $v \in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$, we obtain $w \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ by (3.6).

To end the proof, it now remains to verify that φ is bijective, as we have shown in Lemma 3.6 that for fixed $x_0 \in \Omega$, $\varphi(t) := \varphi(x_0, t)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\tau) := \varphi^{-1}(x_0, \tau)$ are strictly monotone then for every $v \in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$, there exists an unique $u \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $u = \varphi^{-1}(v)$, and for every $u \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$, there exists an unique $v \in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $v = \varphi(u)$ so that shows the bijectivity of φ. ■

Now, we give two important results of the Theorem 3.8 which help us to understand the topology of the space $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$.

Corollary 3.9 *Let β, γ and ψ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.8, then $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ is a complete metric space with the metric which is defined below: $\forall u, v \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$*

$$d_{S_1}(u,v) := \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_{L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\varphi_t'(u) D_i u - \varphi_t'(v) D_i u\|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)},$$

here $\varphi(x,t) = |t|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}} t$ and for every fixed $x \in \Omega$, $\varphi_t'(t) = \left(\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)} + 1\right) |t|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}}$.

Corollary 3.10 *Under the conditions of Corollary 3.9, φ is a homeomorphism between the spaces $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. (Sketch of the proof) Since we have showed that φ is a bijection between $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$, it is sufficient to prove the continuity of φ as well as φ^{-1} in the sense of topology induced by the metric $d_{S_1}(.,.)$. For this, we need to show that

(a) $d_{S_1}(u_m,u_0)\underset{m\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow}0\Rightarrow\varphi(u_m)\overset{L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)}{\underset{m\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow}}\varphi(u_0)$ for every $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ which converges to u_0 and

(b) $v_m\overset{L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)}{\underset{m\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow}}v_0\Rightarrow d_{S_1}\left(\varphi^{-1}(v_m),\varphi^{-1}(v_0)\right)\underset{m\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow}0$ for every $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$ which converges to v_0.

Since for every v_m and v_0, there exist unique u_m and $u_0\in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(u_m)=v_m$ and $\varphi(u_0)=v_0$, the implication **(b)** can be written equivalently,

$\varphi(u_m)\overset{L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)}{\underset{m\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow}}\varphi(u_0)\Rightarrow d_{S_1}(u_m,u_0)\underset{m\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow}0$ for every $\{u_m\}\in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ which converges to u_0. Since the proofs of **(a)** and ***(b)*** are similar, we only prove

(b): Let v_0, $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v_m\overset{L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)}{\longrightarrow}v_0\Leftrightarrow\varphi(u_m)\overset{L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)}{\longrightarrow}\varphi(u_0)$.

To verify $d_{S_1}(u_m,u_0)\to0$, by definition of d_{S_1} it is sufficient to establish that

$$\|\varphi_t'(u_m)D_iu_m-\varphi_t'(u_0)D_iu_0\|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)}\to0\text{ and }\|\varphi(u_m)-\varphi(u_0)\|_{L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)}\to0$$

as $m\nearrow\infty$. The second convergence above is obvious by definition of d_{S_1} and the first one can be proved by applying Theorem 3.8 and Vitali convergence theorem by virtue of the equivalence $\|\varphi_t'(u_m)D_iu_m-\varphi_t'(u_0)D_iu_0\|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)}\to0\Leftrightarrow\sigma_\beta(\varphi_t'(u_m)D_iu_m-\varphi_t'(u_0)D_iu_0)\to0$. ■

Theorem 3.11 *Suppose that conditions of Theorem 3.8 are satisfied. Let $p\in P_0(\Omega)$ and additionally β satisfies $1\le\beta^-\le\beta(x)<n$, $x\in\Omega$. Assume that for $\varepsilon>0$, the inequality*

$$p(x)+\varepsilon<\tfrac{n(\gamma(x)+\beta(x))}{n-\beta(x)},\ x\in\Omega$$

holds. Then we have the compact embedding

$$S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$$

Proof. First, we show that $S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)\subset L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, after that we prove the compactness of this embedding.

For every $u\in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$, by Theorem 3.8

$$\varphi(u)=|u|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\beta(x)}}u=v\in L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega).$$

Since $L^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\cap L^{\psi(x)}(\Omega)\subset W^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)$ and the embedding [8] $W^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega)\subset L^{\beta^*(x)}(\Omega)$ exists for $\beta^*(x)=\frac{n\beta(x)}{n-\beta(x)}$, therefore, we get that $v\in L^{\beta^*(x)}(\Omega)$. So from the definition of v and the space $L^{\beta^*(x)}(\Omega)$ we attain

$$v\in L^{\beta^*(x)}(\Omega)\Leftrightarrow u\in L^{\frac{n(\gamma(x)+\beta(x))}{n-\beta(x)}}(\Omega),$$

As

$$L^{\frac{n(\gamma(x)+\beta(x))}{n-\beta(x)}}(\Omega) \subset L^{p(x)+\varepsilon}(\Omega) \subset L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$$

by the conditions of theorem. Thus, $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Now let us prove that this embedding is compact.
Let $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in S_{1,\gamma(x),\beta(x),\theta(x)}(\Omega)$ be bounded sequence (i.e. $[u_m]_{\gamma,\beta,\theta} < \infty$, $\forall m \geq 1$).
From Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\{\varphi(u_m)\} = \{v_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in W^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega),$$

since we have the compact embedding [8]

$$W^{1,\,\beta(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$$

where $q(x) < \beta^*(x) - \tilde{\varepsilon}$, $x \in \Omega$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, n')$ $(n' = \frac{n}{n-1})$. Thus, there exists a subsequence $\{v_{m_j}\} \subset \{v_m\}$, such that

$$v_{m_j} \xrightarrow{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)} v_0 \tag{3.7}$$

hence by (3.7), we obtain

$$v_{m_j} \xrightarrow[\Omega]{a.e.} v_0$$

as from Lemma 3.6, $\varphi^{-1}(x,\tau) = |\tau|^{\frac{\gamma(x)}{\gamma(x)+\beta(x)}}\tau$ is continuous (with respect to τ and x) so we have

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(v_{m_j}\right) \xrightarrow[\Omega]{a.e.} \varphi^{-1}(v_0).$$

To end the proof, we use Lemma 3.12[†] [16, Theorem 7].

Denote $u_0 := \varphi^{-1}(v_0)$ and the set

$$\Lambda := \left\{ f_j \mid f_j(x) = \left|u_{m_j}(x) - u_0(x)\right|^{p(x)} \right\}$$

and the function

$$\Phi(t) := t^{\bar{\varepsilon}},\ t \geq 0,\ \bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{p^+}\ .$$

Clearly $\Phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is increasing and $\lim\limits_{t\to+\infty} \Phi(t) = +\infty$

[†]**Lemma 3.12** Let Λ be a family of real functions defined on bounded domain Ω. If there is an increasing function $\Phi : [0,\ \infty) \to [0,\ \infty)$ that satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \Phi(t) = +\infty$$

and there is a positive constant L such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_\alpha(x)|\, \Phi(|f_\alpha(x)|)\, dx \leq L, \quad \forall f_\alpha \in \Lambda,$$

then every function in Λ is Lebesgue integrable, and the functions family Λ possesses absolutely equicontinuous integrals on Ω.

Furthermore for every $f_j \in \Lambda$ we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_j(x)| \, \Phi(|f_j(x)|) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left|u_{m_j} - u_0\right|^{p(x)} \left|u_{m_j} - u_0\right|^{\bar{\varepsilon} p(x)} dx =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left|u_{m_j} - u_0\right|^{(\bar{\varepsilon}+1)p(x)} dx \ .$$

Estimating the last integral by using Lemma 3.1, we arrive at

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \left|u_{m_j} - u_0\right|^{p(x)+\varepsilon} dx + |\Omega|$$

using the well known inequality for absolute value above, we get

$$\leq 2^{p^+ + \varepsilon - 1} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p(x)+\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{p(x)+\varepsilon} dx \right) + |\Omega| \, . \tag{3.8}$$

Since $u_0, \{u_{m_j}\} \subset L^{p(x)+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ is bounded, from (3.8), there exists a number $L > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_j(x)| \, \Phi(|f_j(x)|) \, dx \leq L, \tag{3.9}$$

here $L = L\left(|\Omega|, p^+, \varepsilon, \|u_0\|_{L^{p(x)+\varepsilon}(\Omega)}, \left\|u_{m_j}\right\|_{L^{p(x)+\varepsilon}(\Omega)}\right)$.

Consequently by (3.9), we obtain that the family of functions Λ possesses absolutely equicontinuous integrals on Ω. Hence using this and $u_{m_j} \xrightarrow[\Omega]{a.e.} u_0$, we have [16]

$$\int_{\Omega} \left|u_{m_j}(x) - u_0(x)\right|^{p(x)} dx \longrightarrow 0, \ m_j \nearrow \infty,$$

that implies $\left\|u_{m_j} - u_0\right\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \to 0$, so the proof is complete. ■

4 Proof of The Existence Theorem

The proof is based on Theorem 2.5. We introduce the following spaces and mappings in order to apply Theorem 2.5 to prove Theorem 2.4.

$$S_{gY_0} := \mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega), \ X := W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega),$$

$$Y := W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega) + L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega),$$

$$X_0 := W_0^{1,\,p_0(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \text{ and}$$

$$Y_{2_1} := W^{-1,\,2}(\Omega), \quad Y_{2_2} := L^2(\Omega)$$

and

$$A(u) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|D_i u|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i u\right), \tag{4.1}$$

$$B_1(u) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|u|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u\right), \quad B_2(u) := c(x,u), \tag{4.2}$$

$$B := B_1 + B_2 \text{ and } T := A + B. \tag{4.3}$$

We show that all the conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied by proving some lemmas. Then based on these lemmas, we establish the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 4.1 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, the operator T defined by (4.3) is coercive in the generalized sense on X_0.*

Proof. For every $u \in W_0^{1,\,p_0(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\langle T(u), u\rangle = \langle A(u), u\rangle + \langle B(u), u\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |D_i u|^{p_1(x)}\, dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_0(x)-2} |D_i u|^2\, dx + \int_{\Omega} c(x,u)\, u dx. \tag{4.4}$$

If we take account the condition *(A2)* into the third integral of (4.4) and apply the following simple calculated inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)}\, dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2)} |D_i u|^{q_0(x)}\, dx \leq$$

$$\leq (n+1)\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)}\, dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_0(x)-2} |D_i u|^2\, dx + |\Omega|\right) \tag{4.5}$$

we get,

$$\langle T(u), u\rangle \geq C_8\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)}\, dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2)} |D_i u|^{q_0(x)}\right) +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |D_i u|^{p_1(x)}\, dx - C_9, \tag{4.6}$$

here $C_8 = C_8\left(n, \tilde{C}\right) > 0$ and $C_9 = C_9\left(n, |\Omega|, \tilde{C}\right) > 0$ are constants.
Applying Lemma 3.4 to estimate the right-hand side of the inequality (4.6), we obtain

$$\langle T(u), u\rangle \geq C_{10}\left([u]^{q_0^- + 1}_{S_{q_0(p_0-2),q_0,\alpha}} + \|u\|^{p_1^-}_{W_0^{1,\,p_1}}\right) - C_{11}, \tag{4.7}$$

by the definitions of $[.]_{S_{q_0(p_0-2),q_0,\alpha}}$ and $\|.\|_{W_0^{1,\,p_1}}$.

Since $q_0^- + 1 > 2$ and $p_1^- > 2$ thus, $\lambda_0(\tau) = \tau^{q_0^-}$ and $\lambda_1(\tau) = \tau^{p_1^- - 1}$ tends to infinity when $\tau \nearrow \infty$, (see Theorem 2.5) so that means operator T is coercive in the generalized sense on X_0. ■

Lemma 4.2 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, the operator A is monotone and bounded from $W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ into $W^{-1,\,q_1(x)}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. First we prove that $A : W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,\,q_1(x)}(\Omega)$ is bounded. For this, it is sufficient to investigate the dual form $\langle A(u), v\rangle$ for every $v \in W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$|\langle A(u), v\rangle| = \left| -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} D_i \left(|D_i u|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i u \right) v dx \right|$$

using the generalized Hölder inequality to the right hand side of the above equation, we get

$$\leq 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|a_i\|_{L^{q_1(x)}(\Omega)} \right) \|v\|_{W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega)}. \tag{4.8}$$

here, denote $a_i(x) := |D_i u|^{p_1(x)-1}$. Thus by (4.8) we demonstrate the boundness of A from $W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ to $W^{-1,\,q_1(x)}(\Omega)$.
Now let us show that $A : W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,\,q_1(x)}(\Omega)$ is a monotone operator.

Indeed for every u, $v \in W_0^{1,\,p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ we have,

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v\rangle =$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} D_i \left(|D_i u|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i u - |D_i v|^{p_1(x)-2} D_i v \right) (u - v) dx$$

by applying the inequality $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p |a-b|^p \leq \left(|a|^{p-2} a - |b|^{p-2} b \right)(a-b)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, a, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the right hand side of the last equation, we attain

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p_1(x)} |D_i(u-v)|^{p_1(x)} dx \geq 0$$

hence from last inequality the proof is complete. [‡]. ■

Lemma 4.3 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, B is a bounded operator from $\mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ into $W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega) + L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since $B = B_1 + B_2$, we shall show that both B_1 and B_2 are bounded.

First let us verify $B_2 : \mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega) + L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)$ is bounded:

As $\mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \subset L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, it is sufficient to show the boundedness of B_2, from $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)$.

[‡]Here, we note that since A is monotone and hemicontinuous then it is pseudo-monotone [25]

For every $u \in L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$

$$\sigma_{\alpha'}(B_2(u)) = \int_\Omega |B_2(u)|^{\alpha'(x)} dx = \int_\Omega |c(x,u)|^{\alpha'(x)} dx$$

here taking the conditions of Theorem 2.4 into account and estimating the above integral, we obtain

$$\leq 2\left(\|c_0\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \sigma_\alpha(u) + \sigma_{\alpha'}(c_1)\right). \tag{4.9}$$

So from (4.9), we arrive at B_2 is bounded.

Now let us prove that $B_1 : \mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega) + L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)$ is bounded: $\forall i = \overline{1,n}$, denote $b_i(x) := |u|^{p_0(x)-2} |D_i u(x)|$, for every $v \in W_0^{1,\,p_0(x)}(\Omega)$

$$|\langle B_1(u), v\rangle| = \left| -\sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega D_i\left(|u|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u\right) v dx \right|,$$

applying the generalized Hölder inequality to the right hand side of the above equation, we arrive at

$$|\langle B_1(u), v\rangle| \leq 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^{q_0(x)}(\Omega)}\right) \|v\|_{W_0^{1,\,p_0(x)}(\Omega)}.$$

Since $u \in \mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, from (2.3) and the definition of the functions $b_i(x)$, obviously $\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^{q_0(x)}(\Omega)} < \infty$. Thus we verify that B_1 is bounded. Consequently, we prove that B is a bounded operator from $\mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ to $W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega) + L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)$. ■

Lemma 4.4 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, B is a weakly compact operator from $\mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ into $W^{-1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega) + L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since $B = B_1 + B_2$, we shall show that both B_1 and B_2 are weakly compact.
First we show the weak compactness of B_1 : Let $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$, $u_0 \in \mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ and $u_m \overset{S_{gY_0}}{\rightharpoonup} u_0$. By Theorem 3.8 we have

$$\{w_m\}_{m=1}^\infty := \{\varphi(u_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty = \left\{|u_m|^{p_0(x)-2} u_m\right\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset W_0^{1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega).$$

As $q_0^- > 1$ that implies $W_0^{1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive space thus, there exists a subsequence $\left\{w_{m_j}\right\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of $\{w_m\}$ such that

$$w_{m_j} = \left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} u_{m_j} \overset{W_0^{1,\,q_0(x)}(\Omega)}{\rightharpoonup} \xi.$$

Let us verify $\xi = |u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0$:

Since $W_0^{1,\, q_0(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q_0(x)}(\Omega)$ therefore there exist a subsequence $\left\{w_{m_{j_k}}\right\} \subset \left\{w_{m_j}\right\}$ (denote this subsequence by w_{m_j} in order to avoid notation confusion) such that

$$\left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} u_{m_j} \overset{L^{q_0(x)}(\Omega)}{\longrightarrow} \xi$$

hence

$$\varphi\left(u_{m_j}\right) = \left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} u_{m_j} \overset{\Omega}{\underset{a.e.}{\longrightarrow}} \xi \tag{4.10}$$

as from Lemma 3.6, $\varphi^{-1}(x,\tau) = |\tau|^{-\frac{p_0(x)-2}{p_0(x)-1}} \tau$ is continuous (with respect to τ and x) so using (4.10) we obtain

$$u_{m_j} \overset{\Omega}{\underset{a.e.}{\longrightarrow}} \varphi^{-1}(x,\xi) = \varphi^{-1}(\xi), \tag{4.11}$$

hence by (4.11), we arrive at $\varphi^{-1}(\xi) = u_0$, equivalently $\xi = |u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0$.

To verify the weak compactness of B_1, we must show that for arbitrary $v \in W_0^{1,\, p_0(x)}(\Omega)$

$$\langle B_1\left(u_{m_j}\right), v\rangle \to \langle B_1\left(u_0\right), v\rangle, \quad j \nearrow \infty.$$

By the definition of operator B_1,

$$\langle B_1\left(u_{m_j}\right), v\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle -D_i\left(\left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u_{m_j}\right), v\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u_{m_j},\ D_i v\rangle. \tag{4.12}$$

Using Lemma 3.6 and chain rule we have the following equality

$$D_i\left(\left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} u_{m_j}\right) = \left(p_0\left(x\right)-2\right)\left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u_{m_j} +$$

$$+ \left(D_i p_0\right)\left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} u_{m_j} \ln\left|u_{m_j}\right|, \tag{4.13}$$

if we insert the equality (4.13) into (4.12), we obtain

$$\langle B_1\left(u_{m_j}\right), v\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \left(\tfrac{1}{p_0(x)-2}\right) D_i\left(\left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} u_{m_j}\right), D_i v\rangle -$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \left(\tfrac{D_i p_0}{p_0(x)-2}\right)\left|u_{m_j}\right|^{p_0(x)-2} u_{m_j} \ln\left|u_{m_j}\right|, D_i v\rangle. \tag{4.14}$$

Let us denote the first sum in (4.14) by I_1 and the second one by I_2 i.e.

$$\langle B_1\left(u_{m_j}\right), v\rangle = I_1 - I_2,$$

if we use the same manner in [20, Lemma 3.5] and pass to the limit in I_1, we obtain

$$I_1 \underset{j\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \left(\tfrac{1}{p_0(x)-2} \right) D_i \left(|u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0 \right), D_i v \rangle. \tag{4.15}$$

Considering Lemma 3.2 together with Theorem 3.11 and continuity of the function $|t|^{p_0(x)-2} t \ln |t|$ with respect to t and pass to the limit in I_2, we obtain

$$I_2 \underset{j\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \left(\tfrac{D_i p_0}{p_0(x)-2} \right) |u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0 \ln |u_0|, D_i v \rangle. \tag{4.16}$$

Hence from (4.15) and (4.16), we have

$$\langle B_1 \left(u_{m_j} \right), v \rangle \underset{j\nearrow\infty}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \left(\tfrac{1}{p_0(x)-2} \right) D_i \left(|u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0 \right), D_i v \rangle -$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \left(\tfrac{D_i p_0}{p_0(x)-2} \right) |u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0 \ln |u_0|, D_i v \rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \tfrac{1}{p_0(x)-2} \left[D_i \left(|u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0 \right) - (D_i p_0) |u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} u_0 \ln |u_0| \right], D_i v \rangle$$

thus by(4.13), we have

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle |u_0|^{p_0(x)-2} D_i u_0, \ D_i v \rangle = \langle B_1 (u_0), v \rangle.$$

Therefore, we prove the weak compactness of B_1 from $\overset{\circ}{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)} (\Omega)$ to $W^{-1,\, q_0(x)} (\Omega) + L^{\alpha'(x)} (\Omega)$.

Now we prove the weak compactness of B_2. As $(\alpha')^- > 1$, $L^{\alpha'(x)} (\Omega)$ is a reflexive space and $\{B_2 (u_m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty} := \{\eta_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset L^{\alpha'(x)} (\Omega)$ is bounded (see, Lemma 4.3), then there exists a subsequence $\left\{ \eta_{m_j} \right\} \subset \{\eta_m\}$ such that

$$\eta_{m_j} = B_2 \left(u_{m_j} \right) \overset{L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)}{\rightharpoonup} \psi.$$

By Theorem 3.11, the embedding

$$\overset{\circ}{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)} (\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(x)} (\Omega) \tag{4.17}$$

is compact for $s(.)$ which satisfies the inequality $s(x) < \frac{n p_0(x)}{n - q_0(x)}$, $x \in \Omega$.

Thus, by (4.17) there exists a subsequence $\left\{ u_{m_{j_k}} \right\} \subset \left\{ u_{m_j} \right\}$ (let us denote this subsequence by u_{m_j} in order to avoid notation confusion.) such that

$$u_{m_j} \overset{L^{s(x)}(\Omega)}{\longrightarrow} u_0$$

so

$$u_{m_j} \xrightarrow[a.e.]{\Omega} u_0. \tag{4.18}$$

Since the function $c(x,\tau)$ is continuous with respect to variable τ ($c(x,\tau)$ is Carathèdory function), by (4.18)

$$B_2\left(u_{m_j}\right) = c\left(x, u_{m_j}\right) \xrightarrow[a.e.]{\Omega} c\left(x, u_{m_j}\right) = B_2\left(u_0\right). \tag{4.19}$$

Therefore, from (4.19) we obtain that $\psi = B_2(u_0)$.

Finally, we arrive at

$$B_2\left(u_{m_j}\right) \overset{L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)}{\rightharpoonup} B_2\left(u_0\right)$$

which implies that

$$B_2\left(u_{m_j}\right) \overset{W^{-1,\, q_0(x)}(\Omega)+L^{\alpha'(x)}(\Omega)}{\rightharpoonup} B_2\left(u_0\right). \tag{4.20}$$

So, from (4.20) we obtain the weak compactness of B_2 which provides, as a result, the weak compactness of the operator B. ■

It now remains to define the corresponding operators for B in condition "2)" of Theorem 2.5 to apply this theorem to the problem (1.1).
Since $B = B_1 + B_2$, according to condition "2)" we define corresponding B_{01} with regard to B_1 and corresponding B_{02} with regard to B_2 as below:

$$B_{01}(u) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i\left(|u|^{\frac{p_0(x)-2}{2}} D_i u\right)$$

and

$$B_{02}(u) := \left[c(x,u)\, u\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that here $c(x,\tau)\,\tau > 0$ by the condition *(A2)*.

By the same arguments which are used in the proof of Lemma 4.3 to establish the boundness of the operators B_1 and B_2, we can show that the operators B_{01} and B_{02} are bounded between the spaces which are introduced below:

$$B_{01} : X_0 \subset \mathring{S}_{1,p_0(x)-2,2,\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \longrightarrow W^{-1,\,2}(\Omega)$$

and

$$B_{02} : X_0 \subset \mathring{S}_{1,q_0(x)(p_0(x)-2),q_0(x),\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega).$$

Here, we have to prove the weak compactness of B_{01} and B_{02} to show that condition "2)" in Theorem 2.5 is satisfied.

By using the similar manner which has been established in the proof of Lemma 4.4 and by the definition of the functionals corresponding to operators B_{01} and B_{02} (see (4.21), (4.22)), following lemmas can be proved straightforwardly, so we omit the proofs of them.

Lemma 4.5 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, B_{01} is weakly compact operator from X_0 into $W^{-1,\,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover for the function $\mu(\tau)=\tau^2$ and for every $u\in X_0$, the equality*

$$\langle B_1(u),u\rangle \equiv \mu\left(\|B_{01}(u)\|_{W^{-1,\,2}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{4.21}$$

holds.

Lemma 4.6 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, B_{02} is weakly compact operator from X_0 into $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover for every $u\in X_0$, the equality*

$$\langle B_2(u),u\rangle \equiv \mu\left(\|B_{02}(u)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \tag{4.22}$$

holds.

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 2.4) In Lemmas 4.1-4.6, we show that all the conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied for problem (1.1) under the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Consequently, we establish that Theorem 2.5 can be applied to the problem (1.1). Hence using this theorem, we obtain the existence of a weak solution of problem (1.1) in the sense of Definition 2.3. ■

References

[1] E. Acerby, G. Mingione: *Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological liquids.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 164 (2002), 213–259.

[2] R. A. Adams: *Sobolev Spaces.* Academic Press, New York, (1975).

[3] S. N. Antontsev, S. I. Shmarev: *A model porous medium equation with variable exponent of nonlinearity: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions.* Nonlinear Anal. 60 (2005), 515–545.

[4] S. N. Antontsev, J. F. Rodrigues: *On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows.* Ann. Univ. Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sci. Mat. 52 (1) (2006), 19-36.

[5] S. N. Antontsev, S. I. Shmarev: *On the localization of solutions of elliptic equations with nonhomogeneous anisotropic degeneration.* (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 46., (2005), no. 5, 963–984; translation in Siberian Math. J. 46., no. 5 (2005), 765–782.

[6] S. N. Antontsev, S. I. Shmarev: *Elliptic equations and systems with nonstandard growth conditions: Existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions.* Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006), 728-761.

[7] L. Diening: *Theoretical and numerical results for electrorheological fluids.* Ph.D. Thesis, (2002)

[8] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hastö, M. Ružička: *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2017. Springer, Heidelberg (2011).

[9] Y. Chen, S. Levine, M. Rao: *Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66 (2006), 1383-1406.

[10] X. Fan, D. Zhao: *On the spaces* $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ *and* $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001), 424–446.

[11] X. Fan, J. Shen, D. Zhao: *Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces* $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 262, no. 2 (2001), 749–760.

[12] O. Kovacik, J. Rakosnik: *On spaces* $L^{p(x)}$ *and* $W^{k,p(x)}$. Czechoslovak Math. J. 41 (1991), 592–618.

[13] J. L. Lions: *Queques methodes de resolution des problemes aux limites non lineaires.* Dunod and Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1969)

[14] G. de Marsily: *Quantitative Hydrogeology. Groundwater Hydrology for Engineers*. Academic Press, London (1986).

[15] J. Musielak: *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol.1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).

[16] I. P. Natanson: *Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*. GITTL, Moscow (1950).

[17] V. Rădulescu, D. Repovš: *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents: Variational methods and Quantitative Analysis*, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton FL, (2015).

[18] K. Rajagopal, M. Ruzicka: *Mathematical modeling of electro-rheological fluids.* Contin. Mech. Thermodyn. 13, (2001), 59-78.

[19] M. Ruzicka: *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory.* In Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1748 Springer, Berlin (2000).

[20] U. Sert, K. N. Soltanov: *On solvability of a class of nonlinear elliptic type equation with variable exponent.* arXiv: 1510.03907 [math.AP] (2015).

[21] K. N. Soltanov, J. Sprekels: *Nonlinear equations in non-reflexive Banach spaces and strongly nonlinear equations.* Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 9, no. 2 (1999), 939-972.

[22] K. N. Soltanov: *Some imbedding theorems and nonlinear differential equations.* Trans. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. 19 (1999), no. 5, Math. Mech. (2000), 125–146. (Reviewer: H. Triebel)

[23] K. N. Soltanov: *Some nonlinear equations of the nonstable filtration type and embedding theorems.* Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006), 2103-2134.

[24] K. N. Soltanov: *Solvability of nonlinear equations with operators of the sum of a pseudomonotone operators and weakly compact operator*. Doklady akademii nauk., 5, (1992), 944-948.

[25] E. Zeidler: *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/B. Nonlinear monotone operators.* Springer-Verlag, New York (1990).

[26] V. V. Zhikov: *On some variational problems*. Russian J. Math. Phys., 5:1 (1997), 105-116.

[27] V. V. Zhikov: *On the technique for passing to the limit in nonlinear elliptic equations*. Functional Anal. and Its App. Vol. 43, No. 2 (2009), 96-112.

[28] V. V. Zhikov: *Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory.* Math. USSR. Izv. 29 (1987), 33-36.